1	• •			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL (37 CFR 1.8) Applicant(s): Graylon K. Wiiliams, et al			Docket No. 5702-00004 (GIO-004-US)	
09/664,130	September 18, 2000	Edward A. Miller	3641	
Invention:				
Gas Generants	Containg Silicone Fuels			
OIPE				
			RECEIVED	
FEB 1 2 2003			FEB 1 9 2003	
FEB 1 2 2000	•	(GROUP 3600	
ا المحادثة ا	s Brief in Support of Appeal			
		(Identify type of correspondence)		
is being deposited wit	th the United States Postal Sen	vice as first class mail in an env	relope addressed to: The	
Assistant Commission	er for Patents, Washington, D.C.		5, 2003	
		(Date)		
		Laurence C. Bo	egin	
		Laurence C. Be (Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai		
		(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mail	iling Coprespondence)	
		(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai	iling Coprespondence)	
		(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai	iling Coprespondence)	
		(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai	iling Coprespondence)	
		(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai	lling Coprespondence)	
	Note: Each paper must ha	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mai	lling Coprespondence)	
	Note: Each paper must ha	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Co	iling Coprespondence)	
	Note: Each paper must ha	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Co	iling Coprespondence)	
	Note: Each paper must ha	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Co	lling Coprespondence)	
	Note: Each paper must ha	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Co	iling Coprespondence)	
	Note: Each paper must ha	(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Co	iling Coprespondence)	



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit 3988 Examiner Edward A. Miller

Graylon K. WILLIAMS, et al.

Serial No. 09/664,130

Filed September 18, 2000

For: GAS GENERANTS CONTAINING SILICONE FUELS/

February 6, 2003

Box AF Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 RECEIVED

FEB 1 9 2003

Sir: GROUP 3600

This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims 1-13 and 16-18

set forth in the office action mailed September 6, 2002.

(1) Real Party of Interest:

The real party of interest in this appeal is Automotive Systems

Laboratory, Inc., a Michigan corporation, the owner of the subject application by assignment.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences:

There are presently no other appeals and/or interferences known to appellant, appellant's legal representative, or assignee which will directly affect or be directly affected by the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims:

Claims 1-13 and 16-18 are pending and stand finally rejected in an Office Action dated September 6, 2002 (Paper #10).

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box AF, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on February 6, 2003.

Name of person mailing: <u>Laurence C. Begin</u> Signature:

_

 $_{\rm Date} 2/6/03$

02/13/2003 BABRAHA1 00000017 09664130

01 FC:1402

320.00 BP

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

Serial No. 09/664,130 Appeal Brief

(4) Status of Amendments:

No Amendment was filed After the Final Rejection.

(5) Summary of the Invention:

The present gas generant composition solves the problems of excess combustion temperatures and relatively higher inflator operating pressures, and meets toxicity requirements given its stated suitability for use within a vehicle occupant restraint system. Typically, the need to pressurize an associated combustion vessel during combustion requires a more robust inflator and therefore increases the manufacturing costs.

Accordingly, a gas generant composition is provided that exhibits an acceptable and sustained burn rate at ambient pressure while avoiding excessive combustion temperatures. Furthermore, gas generant compositions of the present invention exhibit a ductility or elasticity that inhibits fracture of the gas generant over time. A gas generant composition of the present invention contains silicone as a fuel; an oxidizer selected from the group including metal and nonmetal perchlorates such as potassium perchlorate, lithium perchlorate, and ammonium perchlorate; and, a coolant selected from the group including metal carbonates, metal bicarbonates, metal oxalates, and metal hydroxides.

Preferred coolants will preferably exhibit a greater negative heat of formation. As such, dissociation of the coolant upon combustion of the gas generant composition generally results in an endothermic combustion reaction thereby resulting in a cooler combustion temperature. Furthermore, when coolants such as strontium carbonate are employed, silicates such as strontium silicate are formed thereby forming an insulation about the propellant as it burns, thus conserving heat.

(6) Issues:

Did the Examiner err in finally rejecting claims 1-13 and 16-18 as being unpatentable over Grebert et al. in view of Plantif et al., Hamilton, Ochi et al., Taylor et al., and Hackett et al. under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)?

(7) Grouping of Claims:

Claims 1-13 and 16-18 stand together as being rejected for the

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

same reasons.

(8) Argument:

The basic reference applied against claims 1-13 and 16-18, namely U.S. Patent No. 3,986,908 to Grebert et al. does not respond to the positive limitations of claims 1 and 16, and all claims dependent thereon. Grebert does describe compositions containing silicone rubber and perchlorate oxidizers, but he indicates a concern about the toxicity of the gases. See column 1, lines 22-35:

Moreover, the use of conventional composite propellants as gas generators in inflatable cushion protection devices for high speed vehicles, such as automobiles, cannot be considered because these propellants do not fulfil the condition that the gases they produce *should be non-toxic*,...(emphasis added)

Also see column 2, lines 28-45 where Grebert advises one of ordinary skill in the art that:

[T]he preferred binders are cellulose acetates, particularly cellulose triacetate, and silicone rubbers, particularly silicone rubbers with a carbon content less than 33%. The preferred proportion of cellulose triacetate is from 8 to 17.2% by weight, and that of silicone rubber is from 8 to 14.6% by weight. Below 8% by weight, the binder does not coat the grains of oxidizing agent perfectly. The upper limit for the proportion of binder is determined by the necessity of obtaining a carbon monoxide content of not more than about 500 PPM on combustion....(emphasis added)

Note that Grebert reduces the percent weight range of silicone rubber as compared to triacetate, implicitly concluding greater carbon monoxide production when silicone rubber is used.

Also see column 2, lines 49-55:

Many plasticizers may be employed, the preferred being tricresyl phosphate, diethyl phthalate and triacetin. The best results with respect to mechanical strength and toxicity of the gases produced, are obtained with triacetin which, for the same weight of plasticizer, introduces the *least carbon into the composition*....(emphasis added)

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

It is therefore clear that Grebert is especially concerned about managing the total carbon content in the composition. In view of the above, the addition of a carbonate or oxalate coolant for example, as claimed in the present invention, would apparently contravene the purposes of Grebert's invention, for carbon would be added to the overall composition, thereby providing additional carbon for the production of carbon monoxide.

This notion is particularly supported in view of the following:

The preferred combustion accelerator is aluminium which preferably has a specific surface area of from 3400 to 3800 cm² per cm³. See column 2, lines 46-48.

and see column 4, lines 30-45:

The maximum aluminium is determined by the rise in the reaction temperature due to the exothermic properties of aluminium and which, in turn, leads to an increase in the carbon monoxide content of the combustion gases as shown in the following table:

Proportion of		CO at the neck of the pipe (PPM)		
Triacetate	O%AI	2%AI	3%AI	4%AI
8	-	9	32.2	80
10		42	103	261
12	17	126	288	514
14	75	317 '	600	1020
16	210	690	1360	3450
1.8	700	1635		

Note that as shown in the table, even though aluminium is preferably used as a combustion accelerator, the use of aluminium always results in additional amounts of carbon monoxide. Also note that the table reflects the use of triacetate as a binder, not silicone. Recall that as described above, the use of silicone would result in even more amounts of carbon monoxide and therefore the percent range is reduced relative to that of triacetate. Accordingly, the percentage of silicone would have to be reduced in order to keep the carbon monoxide below 500 PPM as required by Grebert. If carbon-containing coolants (e.g. carbonates and oxalates) were added the

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

percentage of silicone would theoretically, based on the table given above, have to be reduced even further. Note that Grebert indicates a percent level below 8% as unsatisfactory.

In a nutshell, therefore, Grebert essentially teaches away from adding any other carbon-containing composition that would increase the propensity for the production of carbon monoxide. As set forth above by Grebert, one of ordinary skill in the art upon review of U.S. Patent No. 3,986,908 would conclude that the addition of a carbon-containing coolant would simply cut against Grebert's efforts to minimize carbon-monoxide production.

But Grebert also teaches away from the use of a coolant of the present invention by virtue of his use of an *exothermic* combustion accelerator. As explained by the applicants on page 3, lines 2-4 for example:

Accordingly, dissociation of the coolant upon combustion of the gas generant composition results in an endothermic combustion reaction thereby resulting in a cooler combustion temperature....(emphasis added)

As explained above, the combustion of the gas generant composition containing a combustion accelerator would result in higher temperatures due to the exothermic character of the combustion accelerator. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated *to increase* the temperature of the combustion and concurrently *decrease the temperature* with the use of a carbon-containing coolant. Stated another way, the use of an endothermic coolant is counterintuitive to the stated reason for the use of a combustion accelerator, namely to increase (not decrease) the combustion temperature.

As detailed in paper No. 9, (the Request for Reconsideration filed on 12 August 2002), herein incorporated by reference in its entirety, the other references cited do not cure the deficiencies of Grebert. In essence, they either teach away, or simply lack any motivation or suggestion to combine the

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

Serial No. 09/664,130 Appeal Brief

references.

The examiner is advised that if the proposed modification or combination of the prior art would change the principle of operation of the prior art invention being modified, then the teachings of the references are not sufficient to render the claims prima facie obvious. *In re Ratti*, 270 F.2d 810, 123 USPQ 349 (CCPA 1959). Adding carbon-containing coolants to the compositions of Grebert would certainly change the principle of operation given that an endothermic reaction would occur rather than the preferred exothermic reaction as described above.

The examiner is further advised that it is improper to combine references where the references teach away from their combination. In re Grasselli, 713 F.2d 731, 743, 218 USPQ 769, 779 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Certainly, Grebert teaches away from adding any additional component (other than those described) that would contribute to any increase in carbon monoxide combustion products. The table in column 4 illustrates the ease of carbon monoxide formation, particularly at higher temperatures and under pressurized conditions. Grebert's admonitions against increasing the carbon amount dissuade rather than persuade one of ordinary skill to proceed by adding more carbon.

Finally, the examiner is yet further advised that proceeding contrary to accepted wisdom in the art is evidence of nonobviousness. *In re Hedges*, 783 F.2d 1038, 228 USPQ 685 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Clearly, addition of carbon-containing coolants despite Grebert's admonitions against it cuts against the prevailing wisdom at the time of the present invention.

(9) Conclusion:

For the above-stated reasons, the examiner has simply not met the burden of substantiating a prima facie case of obviousness relative to claims 1-13 and 16-18. For Grebert teaches away from adding an endothermic carbon-containing coolant. The requisite suggestion or motivation to combine the

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

references is therefore lacking. Accordingly, reversal of the Examiner's rejection of claims 1-13 and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. §103, and issuance of the present application is courteously solicited.

(10) Appendix - Claim 1:

1. A gas generant composition comprising:

silicone as a fuel;

an oxidizer selected from the group consisting of metal and nonmetal perchlorates; and

a coolant selected from the group consisting of alkali, alkaline earth, and transitional metal carbonates, bicarbonates, oxalates, and hydroxides.

- 2. The gas generant composition of claim 1 further comprising:
 - a secondary oxidizer selected from the group consisting of metal and nonmetal nitrates.
- The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said oxidizer is selected from the group consisting of potassium perchlorate, ammonium perchlorate, and lithium perchlorate.
- 4. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

potassium perchlorate; and

strontium carbonate.

5. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

potassium perchlorate; and

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

strontium oxalate.

6. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

potassium perchlorate; and

calcium oxalate.

7. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

potassium perchlorate; and

calcium carbonate.

8. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

potassium perchlorate; and

magnesium hydroxide.

9. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

potassium perchlorate; and

magnesium carbonate.

10. The gas generant composition of claim 1 wherein said composition comprises:

silicone;

lithium perchlorate; and

a coolant selected from the group consisting of strontium carbonate,

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

calcium carbonate, strontium oxalate, magnesium carbonate, magnesium hydroxide, and potassium carbonate.

11. A gas generant composition comprising:

silicone at 10-25%;

a primary oxidizer selected from the group consisting of metal and nonmetal perchlorates at 30-85%; and

- a coolant selected from the group consisting of alkali, alkaline earth, and transitional metal carbonates, oxalates, bicarbonates, and hydroxides at 1-30%, said percentages stated by weight of said gas generant composition.
- 12. The gas generant composition of claim 11 further comprising:
 - at least one secondary oxidizer selected from the group consisting of nonmetal, alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, and transitional metal chlorates, nitrates, nitrites, and oxides at 30-50% by weight of said gas generant composition.
- 13. The gas generant composition of claim 12 wherein said at least one secondary oxidizer is selected from the group consisting of phase stabilized ammonium nitrate, ammonium nitrate, strontium nitrate, and potassium nitrate.
- 16. A gas generant composition comprising:

silicone at 10-25%;

potassium perchlorate at 30-85%; and

a coolant selected from the group consisting of alkali metal, alkaline earth metal, and transitional metal carbonates, oxalates, and hydroxides at 1-30%, said percentages stated by weight of said gas generant composition.

17. The gas generant composition of claim 16 comprising:

silicone at 10-25%;

potassium perchlorate at 30-85%; and

strontium carbonate at 1-30%, said percentages stated by weight of said gas generant composition.

18. The gas generant composition of claim 17 comprising:

silicone at 20%;

potassium perchlorate at 60%; and

strontium carbonate at 20%, said percentages stated by weight of said gas generant composition.

Atty. Dkt. No. GIO-004-US 5702-01004

Applicant has calculated a fee of \$320.00 in connection with this brief. Our check is enclosed in that amount. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees incident to the filing of this communication to Deposit Account No. 04-1131. A duplicate copy of the front page of this document is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

2701 Cambridge Court Suite 500 Auburn Hills, MI 48326 LCB/ GIO-004-US

Dinnin & Dunn, P.C.

Laurence C. Begin

Reg. No. 42,310

Phone (248) 364-2100 FAX (248) 364-2200